

On ε -COAPPROXIMATION

Meenu Sharma and T.D.Narang

¹Principal, A.S.College for Women , Khanna 141401 Punjab

²Department of Mathematics

Guru Nanak Dev University , Amritsar 143005 Punjab

ABSTRACT

For a subset G of a metric space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$, an element $g_0 \in G$ is called an ε -coapproximation to $x \in X$ if $d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$. The set of all ε -coapproximations to x in G is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$. In this paper, we discuss some basic properties and structure of the set of elements of ε -coapproximation. The underlying spaces are metric spaces or convex metric spaces or metric linear spaces. Directions for future research have also been discussed.

Date of Submission: 10-12-2022

Date of Acceptance: 25-12-2022

The concept of elements of ε -approximation was introduced in normed linear spaces by Buck[2] under the name 'elements of good approximation'. Subsequently, many researchers discussed this concept (see [3], [4], [7] and references cited therein). Thereafter, the concept of ε -coapproximation was introduced and discussed in normed linear spaces by Vaezpour et al. [9]. Some results on ε -coapproximation have also been discussed by As'ad and Ghazal [1] in normed linear spaces. This concept was extended to metric spaces in [3] and was discussed in metric linear spaces in [5] and [6]. In this paper, we carry forward this study in spaces which are either metric spaces or metric linear spaces or convex metric spaces.

To start with, we recall a few definitions to be used in the sequel.

For a metric space (X, d) and a closed interval $I=[0, 1]$, a continuous mapping $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in I$,

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1 - \lambda)d(u, y)$$

for all $u \in X$. The metric space (X, d) together with a convex structure W , denoted by (X, d, W) is called a convex metric space [8].

A non-empty subset G of a convex metric space (X, d, W) is said to be

- (i) convex if $W(x, y, \lambda) \in G$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $\lambda \in I$.
- (ii) starshaped with star centre p , if there is some $p \in G$ such that $W(x, p, \lambda) \in G$ for all $x \in G$ and $\lambda \in I$.

A metric space (X, d) is called a metric linear space if (i) X is a linear space (ii) addition and scalar multiplications are continuous in X , and (iii) d is translation invariant i.e. $d(x+z, y+z) = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Let G be a subset of a metric space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$. An element $g_0 \in G$ is called an ε -approximation [2] (ε -coapproximation [3]) to $x \in X$ if

$$d(x, g_0) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \quad (d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon) \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

For $x \in X$, the set of all ε -approximations (ε -coapproximations) to x in G is denoted by $P_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$ ($\mathcal{R}_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$)

$$\text{i.e. } P_{G, \varepsilon}(x) = \{g_0 \in G : d(x, g_0) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x) = \{g_0 \in G : d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G\} .$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain sets of best approximation (coapproximation)

The set G is called ε -proximal (ε -coproximal) if $P_{G,\varepsilon}(x)(\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x))$ is non-empty for each $x \in X$. It is said to be ε -Chebyshev (ε -coChebyshev) if $P_{G,\varepsilon}(x)(\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x))$ contains exactly one element for every $x \in X$.

Since elements of ε -approximation always exist for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every non-empty subset of X is ε -proximal. On the other hand, elements of ε -coapproximation may or may not exist. For $\varepsilon = 0$, ε -coproximal and ε -coChebyshev sets are coproximal and coChebyshev sets respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ is a closed set if G is a closed subset of X , $\mathcal{R}_G(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{G,\delta}(x)$ for every $\delta \geq \varepsilon$.

For a linear subspace G of a metric linear space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0) = \{x \in X : 0 \in \mathcal{R}_{G,\varepsilon}(x)\} .$$

For $x, y \in X$, we say that x is ε -orthogonal to y [9], $x \perp_\varepsilon y$ if $d(x, 0) \leq d(x, \alpha y) + \varepsilon$ for all real scalars α . For non-empty subsets A, B of X , we say that A is ε -orthogonal to B ; $A \perp_\varepsilon B$, if $a \perp_\varepsilon b$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$.

We define

$$\overset{\vee}{G} = \{x \in X : G \perp x\}$$

$$\overset{\vee}{G}_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : G \perp_\varepsilon x\}$$

$$\equiv \{x \in X : g \perp_\varepsilon x \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

Clearly $\overset{\vee}{G} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overset{\vee}{G}_\varepsilon$.

Before proceeding further, we give few examples concerning elements of ε -coapproximation.

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with Euclidean metric and $G = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$. Then for $x = (0, 0)$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{G,\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}(0, 0) = \{g_0 \in G : d(g_0, g) \leq d((0, 0), g) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

$$= \left\{ g_0 \in G : d(g_0, g) \leq \frac{3}{2} \text{ for all } g \in G \right\}$$

$$= \phi .$$

If we take $\varepsilon \geq 1$ then $R_{G,\varepsilon}(0,0) = G$.

Example 2 . For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = \varepsilon^2\} \cup \{(0,0)\}$ with Euclidean metric and

$G = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = \varepsilon^2\}$. Then $R_{G,\varepsilon}(z) = G$ for each $z \in X$ i.e. G is ε -coproximal . But G is not coproximal as $R_G(0,0) = \phi$.

It is easy to see that $R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ may or may not be closed . However , it is closed if G is closed.

Example 3 [1] Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$, $G = \left\{ (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |g_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$. Then G is ε -coproximal but G is not closed .

We now discuss some basic properties of elements of ε -coapproximation , structure of sets of elements of co - approximation and directions for future research .

Proposition 1 . If G is a convex subset of a convex metric space (X, d, W) , $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ is a convex subset of X .

Proof Let $g_1, g_2 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Consider

$$d[W(g_1, g_2, \alpha), g] \leq \alpha d(g_1, g) + (1 - \alpha)d(g_2, g) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\leq \alpha [d(x, g) + \varepsilon] + (1 - \alpha)[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$= d(x, g) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$.

Therefore, $d[W(g_1, g_2, \alpha), g] \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

This gives $W(g_1, g_2, \alpha) \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ as $W(g_1, g_2, \alpha) \in G$.

Hence $R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ is a convex set.

Proposition 2 Let G be a linear subspace of a metric linear space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

(i) $g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ if and only if $0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x - g_0)$,

(ii) $g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ if $x - g_0 \in \overset{\vee}{G}_\varepsilon$,

(iii) for $g_0 \in G$ and for any scalar α , we have $\alpha g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(\alpha x)$ if and only if $x - g_0 \in \overset{\vee}{G}_\varepsilon$,

(iv) $R_{G,\varepsilon}(x) = G \cap [x - R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)]$,

(v) $R_{G,\varepsilon}(x) \supseteq \bigcup_{g_0 \in G} \left[\bigcap_{g \in G} P_{[g_0, x], \varepsilon}(g) \right]$, where $[g_0, x] \equiv \{\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0, |\alpha| \leq 1\} \cap G$,

(vi) For $x \in X \setminus G$, $\left\{ g_0 \in G : \bigcap_{g \in G} P_{(g_0, x), \varepsilon}(g) \right\} \subseteq R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ where $\langle g_0, x \rangle = \{\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof For proofs of (i), (ii) and (iii) we refer to [6].

(iv) $g_0 \in G \cap [x - R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)] \Leftrightarrow g_0 \in G$ and $g_0 \in [x - R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)]$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_0 \in G \text{ and } g_0 = x - g_1, g_1 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_0 \in G \text{ and } g_1 = x - g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_0 \in G \text{ and } x - g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_0 \in G \text{ and } g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x).$$

Therefore, $G \cap [x - R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)] = R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$.

(v) Let $h \in \bigcup_{g_0 \in G} \left[\bigcap_{g \in G} P_{[g_0, x], \varepsilon}(g) \right]$. Then $h \in P_{[g_0, x], \varepsilon}(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and for some $g_0 \in G$. This implies

$$d(g, h) \leq d(g, \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $d(g, h) \leq d(g, x) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$, taking $\alpha=1$ i.e. $h \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$. Hence the result follows.

(vi) Let $g_0 \in \bigcap_{g \in G} P_{(g_0, x), \varepsilon}(g)$. Then $g_0 \in P_{(g_0, x), \varepsilon}(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

This implies

$$d(g_0, g) \leq d(g, y) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } y \in \langle g_0, x \rangle \text{ and } g \in G$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(g_0, g) \leq d(g, \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Therefore, $d(g_0, g) \leq d(g, x) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$, by taking $\alpha=1$ and so, $g_0 \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$. The result follows.
Proposition 3. If G is a subset of a convex metric space (X, d, W) such that G is starshaped with respect to g_0 , then $R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$ is starshaped with respect to g_0 provided $g_0 \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$.

Proof Let $y \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$. Then $d(y, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$. Since G is starshaped with respect to g_0 , $W(y, g_0, \lambda) \in G$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}$. We claim that $W(y, g_0, \lambda) \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$. Consider

$$d[W(y, g_0, \lambda), g] \leq \lambda d(y, g) + (1 - \lambda)d(g_0, g) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\leq \lambda[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] + (1 - \lambda)[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$= d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

This implies $W(y, g_0, \lambda) \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}$ and for all $y \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$. Hence $R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$ is starshaped with respect to g_0 .

Proposition 4. If G is a subset of a convex metric space (X, d, W) , $g_0 \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$ and $W(g_0, x, \lambda) \in G$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}$, then $W(g_0, x, \lambda) \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$.

Proof Since $g_0 \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$, $d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$.

Consider

$$d[W(g_0, x, \lambda), g] \leq \lambda d(g_0, g) + (1 - \lambda)d(x, g) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\leq \lambda[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] + (1 - \lambda)d(x, g) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\leq \lambda[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] + (1 - \lambda)[d(x, g) + \varepsilon] \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$= d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Since $W(g_0, x, \lambda) \in G$, we get $W(g_0, x, \lambda) \in R_{G, \varepsilon}(x)$.

Remarks

1. If G is ε -coChebyshev subset of a convex metric space (X, d, W) , then $R_{G,\varepsilon}[W(x, R_{G,\varepsilon}(x), \lambda)] = R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ provided $W(x, R_{G,\varepsilon}(x), \lambda) \in G$.

2. We have the following reformulation of Proposition 4 :

If G is a subset of a convex metric space (X, d, W) and $x \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$, then $x \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}[W(g_0, x, \alpha)]$ if $W(g_0, x, \alpha) \in G$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 5. If G is a subset of a metric space (X, d) , then $R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0) \equiv \{x \in X : g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)\}$ is a closed subset of X .

Proof Let x be a limit point of $R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$. Then there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in $R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Since $g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x_n)$ for all n ,

$$d(g_0, g) \leq d(x_n, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G .$$

This gives

$$d(g_0, g) \leq \lim d(x_n, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G$$

and so , $g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$ i.e. $x \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$.

Proposition 6. If G is a subset of a metric space (X, d) , then $R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0) = \bigcap_{g \in G} R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$.

Proof Let $x \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$ and $g \in G$. Then $g_0 \in R_{G,\varepsilon}(x)$

and so

$$d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G .$$

This inequality is obviously true for g_0 . It follows that $x \in R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore, $R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0) \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in G} R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$.

Conversely, let $x \in \bigcap_{g \in G} R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$ i.e. $x \in R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0)$ for all $g \in G$. This gives

$$d(g_0, g) \leq d(x, g) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and so } x \in R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0). \text{ Therefore, } \bigcap_{g \in G} R_{\{g_0, g\}, \varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0) \subseteq R_{G,\varepsilon}^{-1}(g_0) \text{ and}$$

the proof is complete.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Analogous to the notions of ε -approximation and ε -coapproximation in the theory of best approximation, one can think of defining the concepts of ε -farthest and ε -cofarthest points in the theory of farthest points as under :

For a bounded subset K of a metric space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$, an element $k_0 \in K$ is called an ε -farthest point (ε -cofarthest point) to $x \in X$ if $d(x, k_0) \geq \delta(x, K) - \varepsilon$ ($d(k_0, k) \geq \delta(x, K) - \varepsilon$) for all $k \in K$ where $\delta(x, K) \equiv \sup \{d(x, y) : y \in K\}$. It is easy to see that the notion of ε -cofarthest points and so of co-farthest points (taking $\varepsilon = 0$) are not meaningful.

It will be interesting to study ε -farthest points and prove results for ε -farthest points, similar to those for ε -approximation available in [2]–[4], [6] and [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] As'ad Y. As'ad and A.M.A. Ghazal, On ε -proximal and ε -coChebyshev sets in normed spaces, International Mathematical Forum 5(2010), 3001-3005.
- [2] R.C. Buck, Applications of duality in approximation theory, Approximation of Functions, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1965), 27–42.
- [3] T.D. Narang, On good approximation, J. Scientific Research, 49(1999), 25–43.

- [4] T.D.Narang , On simultaneous characterization of the set of good approximation , African Diaspora J.Math. 16 (2014), 23 – 30 .
- [5] T.D.Narang and Sahil Gupta, On ε – coapproximation in quotient spaces, Indian J.Math. 58(2016), 17-29 .
- [6] Meenu Sharma and T.D.Narang , On invariance of ε – orthogonality , ε – approximation and ε – coapproximation in metric linear spaces, International J. Engg. Sci. 4 (2015) , 20 – 25 .
- [7] Ivan Singer , Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces , Springer – Verlag , New York (1970) .
- [8] W. Takahashi , A convexity in metric spaces and non – expansive mappings I , Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970),142 – 149 .
- [9] S.M. Vaezpour , R. Hasani and H. Mazaheri , On the ε – best coapproximation , International Mathematical Forum , 2 (2007), 2599 – 2606 .