

Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Space by Using New Implicit Relation

M S Chauhan¹, Manoj Kumar Khanduja², Bharat Singh³

¹Asst. Professor Govt. Mandideep Collge Raisen (M.P.)

^{2,3}Lecturer SOC. and E. IPS Academy Indore (M.P.)

Abstract

In this paper we give a fixed point theorem on fuzzy metric space with a new implicit relation. Our results extend and generalize the result of Mishra and Chaudhary [10]

Date of Submission: 06, December, 2012  Date of Publication: 25, December 2012

I. Introduction

Zadeh [11] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965 and in the next decade Kramosil and Michalek [12] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces in 1975, which opened an avenue for further development of analysis in such spaces. Vasuki [13] investigated some fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces for R-weakly commuting mappings and Pant [14] introduced the notion of reciprocal continuity of mappings in metric spaces. Balasubramaniam et al and S. Muralishankar, R.P. Pant [15] proved the open problem of Rhodes [16] on existence of a contractive definition.

II. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [1] A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-norm if satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is commutative and associative,
- (2) $*$ is continuous,
- (3) $a*1 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$,
- (4) $a*b \leq c*d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Examples of t-norm are $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a*b = ab$

Definition 2.3 [3] A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

The functions $M(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

- 1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$
- 2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$
- 4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$,
- 5) for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous,

Remark 2.1 In a FM $(X, M, *)$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non- decreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4 Let $(X, M, *)$, be a FM - space. Then

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy Sequence if for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$$

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if for all $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$$

Since $*$ is continuous, the limit is uniquely determined from (5) and (11) respectively.

Definition 2.5 [11] A FM-Space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.6 [4] Let A and S be maps from a fuzzy metric $(X, M, *)$ into itself. The maps A and S are said to be weakly commuting if

$$M(ASz, SAz, t) \geq M(Az, Sz, t) \quad \text{for all } z \in X \text{ and } t > 0$$

Definition 2.7 [6] Let A and S be maps from an FM-space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. The maps A and S are said to be compatible if for all $t > 0$ $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.8 [8] Two mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ will be called reciprocally continuous if $ASu_n \rightarrow Az$, and $SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$, whenever $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence such that for some $Au_n, Su_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$

Definition 2.9 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. A and S be self maps on X. A point x in X is called a coincidence point of A and S iff $Ax = Sx$. In this case $w = Ax = Sx$ is called a point of coincidence of A and S.

Definition 2.10 A pair of mappings (A,S) of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincident points i.e., if $Au = Su$ for some u in X then $ASu = SAu$.

Definition 2.11 [7] Two self maps A and S of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of A and S at which A and S commute.

Definition 2.12 (Implicit Relation) Let Φ_5 be the set of all real and continuous function from $(R^+)^5 \rightarrow R$ and such that

2.12 (i) Φ is non increasing in 2nd, 3rd and 4th argument and

2.12 (ii) for $u, v \geq 0$ $\Phi(u, v, v, v, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$

Example $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = t_1 - \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$

Lemma 2.1 Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$. If there exist a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that $M(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Lemma 2.2 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a FM space and for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and if for a number $k \in (0,1)$, $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ then $x = y$

Lemma 2.3 [9] Let X be a set, f and g be owc self maps of X. If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

3.Main Result

Theorem 3.1 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X. Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc. If for $\Phi \in \Phi_5$ there exist $q \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\Phi \left(\begin{matrix} M(Ax, By, qt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ \left(\frac{1 + M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1 + M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)}{2} \right] \end{matrix} \right) \geq 0 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then there exist a unique point $w \in X$ such that $Aw = Sw = w$ and a unique point $z \in X$ such that $Bz = Tz = z$. Moreover $z = w$, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A,B, S and T

Proof Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc, so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Ax = Sx$ and $By = Ty$. We claim that $Ax = By$. If not by inequality (1)

$$\phi \left(\frac{M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t)}{\left(\frac{1 + M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1 + M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)}{2} \right]} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left(\frac{M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t)}{\left(\frac{1 + M(Ax, Ax, t)}{1 + M(By, By, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Ax, By, t) + M(By, Ax, t)}{2} \right]} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), 1, 1, M(Ax, By, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, By, t)) \geq 0$$

$\because \phi$ is non – increasing in 3rd and 4th argument therefore 2.12 (i) and 2.12 (ii)

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \geq M(Ax, By, t)$$

Therefore $Ax = By$ i.e. $Ax = Sx = By = Ty$

Suppose that there is a unique point z such that $Az = Sz$ then by (1) we have

$$\phi \left(\frac{M(Az, By, qt), M(Az, By, t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(By, Ty, t)}{\left(\frac{1 + M(Az, Sz, t)}{1 + M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Az, Ty, t) + M(By, Sz, t)}{2} \right]} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Az, By, qt), M(Az, By, t), 1, 1, M(Az, By, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Az, By, qt), M(Az, By, t), M(Az, By, t), M(Az, By, t), M(Az, By, t)) \geq 0$$

ϕ is non – increasing in 3rd and 4th argument therefore by 2.12 (i) and 2.12 (ii)

$$M(Az, By, qt) \geq M(Az, By, t)$$

$Az = By = Sz = Ty$, So $Ax = Az$ and $w = Ax = Sx$ the unique point of coincidence of A and S . By lemma (2.3) w is the only common fixed point of A and S . Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Bz = Tz$

Assume that $w \neq z$ we have

$$\phi \left(\frac{M(Aw, Bz, qt), M(Aw, Bz, t), M(Sw, Aw, t), M(Bz, Tz, t)}{\left(\frac{1 + M(Aw, Sw, t)}{1 + M(Bz, Tz, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Aw, Tz, t) + M(Bz, Sw, t)}{2} \right]} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left(\frac{M(Aw, Bz, qt), M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t), M(z, z, t)}{\left(\frac{1 + M(w, w, t)}{1 + M(z, z, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(w, z, t) + M(z, w, t)}{2} \right]} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Aw, z, qt), M(w, z, t), M(w, w, t), M(z, z, t), M(w, z, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Aw, z, qt), M(w, z, t), 1, 1, M(w, z, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Aw, z, qt), M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t)) \geq 0$$

$\because \phi$ is non increasing in 3rd and 4th argument

$$\therefore M(Aw, Bz, qt) \geq M(w, z, t)$$

We have $z = w$ by Lemma (2.2) and z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . The uniqueness of the fixed point holds from (1).

Definition 3.11 (Implicit Relation) Let Φ_δ be the set of all real and continuous function from $(\mathbb{R}^+)^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and such that

3.11 (i) Φ is non increasing in $2^{nd}, 3^{rd}, 4^{th}$ and 5^{th} argument and

3.11 (ii) for $u, v \geq 0$ $\Phi(u, v, v, v, v, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$ and $\Psi(u, v, v, v, v, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq v$

Theorem 3.2 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X . Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc . If there exist $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Ax, By, qt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ \left(\frac{1 + M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1 + M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)}{2} \right], M(By, Sx, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Proof Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc, so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Ax = Sx$ and $By = Ty$. We claim that $Ax = By$. If not by inequality (2)

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t), \\ \left(\frac{1 + M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1 + M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{M(Ax, By, t) + M(By, Ax, t)}{2} \right], M(By, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), 1, 1, \\ M(Ax, By, t), M(By, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Ax, By, qt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, By, t), \\ M(Ax, By, t), M(By, Ax, t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0$$

$\because \Phi$ is non – increasing in 3^{rd} and 4^{th} argument therefore by 3.11(i) and 3.11(ii)

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \geq M(Ax, By, t)$$

Therefore $Ax = By$ i.e. $Ax = Sx = By = Ty$. Suppose that there is another point z such that $Az = Sz$ then by (2) we have $Az = Sz = Ty$, So $Ax = Az$ and $w = Ax = Tx$ is the unique point of coincidence of A and T . By lemma(2.2) w is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Bz = Tz$. Thus z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . The uniqueness of fixed point holds by (2).

References

[1] B Schweizer and A. Sklar Statistical metric Spaces Pacific J.Math.,10, (1960),313-334.
 [2] M . Aamri and , D El Moutawkil , Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270(1) (2002) 181-188. MR 1911759 (2003D:54057)
 [3] A George , P.Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems,27 (1988) , 385-389.
 [4] R.Vasuki “Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces”,Indian J. Pure Appl.Bull. Math. 30 (2006), 585-540.
 [5] R. P. Pant “Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps,”J. Math. Anal. Appl. 36(1991),283-289
 [6] G.Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2) ,Internet , J. Math. Math. Sci. (1988).285-288.
 [7] M.A. Al-Thagafi, N Shahzad, Generalized I-no expansive self maps and invariants approximations. Acta Math Sin, 24(5) (2008),867-876.
 [8] S. Kutukcu “ A fixed point theorem in Menger Spaces,” Int. Math. F. 1(32)(2006),1543-1554.
 [9] G.Jungck and B.E. Rhoades. Fixed point,Theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, Fixed point theory,vol7, No 2, (2006),287-296 .

- [10] Rajesh kumar Mishra and Sanjay Chaudhary On fixed point Theorems in fuzzy metric spaces.
- [11] L.A. Zedh Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [12] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metrics and Statistical metric spaces ,Kybernetika(Prague) 11(5) (1975) 336-344. MR0410633(53# 14381)
- [13] R.Vasuki “Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces ”, Indian J. Pure Appl. Bull. Math. 30 (1999), 419-423.
- [14] R. P. Pant “Common fixed points of four mappings, “ Bull. Cal. Math. Soc .90 (1998).251-258.
- [15] P.Balasubramaniam, S. Muralisankar ,R.P. Pant ,”Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric spaces ”.J. Fuzzy Math. 10(2) (2002),379-384.